

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,617	03/14/2000	KOJI IDEI	000225	8477
23850	7590 10/31/2003		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1774	IKKF
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003	1074

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4		TOKE
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
·	09/508,617	IDEI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774	
The MAILING DATE f this communication app Period for Reply	ears n the cover sh et w	th the correspondenc addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,	a6(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	date of this communication, even if	limely filed, may reduce any	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 S</u>	-		
, <u> </u>	s action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I Disposition of Claims 			erits is
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-5 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	•.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No	İ
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application. 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		je
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		lication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has b	een received.	, .
Attachm nt(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 09/508,617

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to the amendment mailed September 4, 2003.
 Claim 5 was added, rendering claims 1 and 3-5 pending.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujioka et al. (U.S. 4,279,961) in view of Tanaka et al. (U.S. 5,252,184) for reasons previously stated in the Office Action submitted on June 11, 2003. Regarding the newly added limitation to claim 5, 'surface resistivity is 1.0×10^{10} - $9.9 \times 10^{11} \, \Omega$,' Fujioka shows a recording material with a base sheet (abstract) with a surface resistivity of 10^6 to 10^{10} ohms by dry weight (column 5, lines 33-44).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments to rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujioka et al. (U.S. 4,279,961) in view of Tanaka et al. (U.S. 5,252,184) have been

Application/Control Number: 09/508,617

Art Unit: 1774

considered but are unpersuasive. Applicant notes '... as measured by colloidal titration method' is a restrictive clause that specifies the method used to measure the cation equivalent in the claim. The method used to measure a property of an article is of little relevance in a product claim. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. Applicant notes that Fujioka discloses a surface resistivity of 10⁶ to 10¹⁰ ohms having a resin amount of 2 to 20g/m2, which meets the resistivity and adhering amount limitations of instant claim 1. Applicant argues none of the 18 Examples of Fujioka are in the presently claimed range of surface resistivity. The invention of Fujioka is not limited by the examples. Furthermore, column 6, lines 9-11 read 'examples and comparison examples are given below, but the invention is in no way limited thereto.' Examiner emphasizes Fujioka discloses a surface resistivity of 10⁶ to 10¹⁰ ohms (column 5, lines 33-44). Applicant further argues Tanaka discloses the use of an amphoteric polymeric electrolyte and not a cationic resin. Tanaka discloses a paper comprising an amphoteric polymeric electrolyte (column 1, lines 64-67), where the electrolyte comprises a cationic equivalent in the range of 1 to 15 meg/g. Because the electrolyte is an essential component of the paper (column 1 lines 65-67), the paper. in fact, does comprise the cation equivalent. Applicant argues Tanaka is silent on a paper for ink jet and electrophotographic recording, which is considered to be an intended use of the instantly claimed application. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/508,617

Art Unit: 1774

claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM – 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

Application/Control Number: 09/508,617

Art Unit: 1774

examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for

After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

308-2351

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Examiner Art Unit 1774 CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cythillof